

1DPH RI H[WHUQ(DOL]DEHWK 6PDUW H[DPLQH	
'DWH RI UHSRUW \ -XO	

## 1 Report Checklist

### Have you received:

1.1 LPC Handbook(s)?	YES
1.2 LPC Assessment Regulations?	YES
1.3 Module Descriptions?	YES
1.4 Marking Criteria?	YES
1.5 A sufficient numbers of scripts?	YES
1.5.1 If you did not receive the scripts, was the method of selection satisfactory?	YES

## 2 Academic Issues

2.1 Were the standards of the questions set appropriate for Legal Practice Course level?	YES
--	-----

Please comment.

### Interviewing and Advising

All the assessments were of the appropriate standard for the LPC. They required knowledge, application and analysis and had an appropriate coverage of all three.

### Private Client and Wills

The assessments were had an appropriate degree of rigour and were of the appropriate standard for the LPC.

### Criminal Law and Practice – Corporate

The assessments were had an appropriate degree of rigour and were of the appropriate standard for the LPC.

2.2 Were the assessments well-designed? i.e did they assess appropriately the learning outcomes set for the course.	<b>YES</b>
<p>Please comment.</p> <p><b>Interviewing and Advising</b></p> <p>The assessments showed an excellent breadth and depth of coverage of all the module and programme learning outcomes.</p> <p><b>Private Client and Wills</b></p> <p>The assessments showed an excellent breadth and depth of coverage of all the module and programme learning outcomes.</p> <p><b>Criminal Law and Practice - Corporate</b></p> <p>The assessments showed an excellent breadth and depth of coverage of all the module and programme learning outcomes.</p>	

2.3 Was the standard of performance attained by candidates in general appropriate for Masters level?	<b>YES</b>
<p>The students achieved a high standard of performance in Criminal Law and Practice, Interviewing and Advising, Private Client and Wills appropriate for a Masters level award.</p>	
2.4 Was the marking satisfactory and consistent?	<b>YES</b>
<p>The marking was thorough and fair; consistent across the board in all subjects.</p>	

**3 Administrative Issues:** please make any comments you wish to make on:

<p>3.1 The process of setting assessments.</p>	<p>This appeared thorough and satisfactory</p>
<p>3.2 The administration of assessment of coursework and the final examinations.</p>	<p>This was excellent particularly in light of the move to online due to the pandemic</p>
<p>3.3 The moderation process.</p>	<p>This was well documented and clear; due consideration was given to all students.</p>
<p>3.4 The conduct of the Examination Board meetings</p>	<p>Very efficient; all internal board members are very thorough and worked well in the virtual environment</p>
<p>3.5 Procedures relating to candidates with special needs/concessions</p>	<p>Careful consideration was provided to all students.</p>
<p>3.6 Any other procedural issues.</p>	<p>None</p>

/HJDO 3UDFWLFH &RXUVH

---

4XDOLW\ \$VVXUDQFH ,VVXHV

:HUH DVVHVRPHQWV DQG \RXU